Is RISCO approach limited to private or communal land, or it will work also for public land?
A: At this point we are open to both - we haven't limited RISCO based on tenure ship. If land management concerns pose a significant barrier to implementing RISCO, we will consider an area non-viable.

The mangrove forests in North 24 Parganas district are on public land: therefore, we are assuming that these will not be available for carbon rights trading or RISCO insurance. And we assume the same is true for the mangroves near Mumbai. Likely, there is a (relatively) small number amount of mangrove forest on tribal community land in other coastal states, but it needs to be verified if they are privately controlled or community controlled to justify the scoping.

Based on that:
- Can you please confirm that the focus of the call is, site identification apart, is on carbon rights trading and insurance?
  A: The TOR is focused more on insurance opportunities; however, carbon policy is ever-evolving so while carbon opportunities might be limited now, they could be available in the future.

- Can you please let us know if the above-mentioned issue has been considered and if we understand rightly the overall scenario?
  A: The TOR is focused more on insurance opportunities; however, carbon policy is ever-evolving so while carbon opportunities might be limited now, they could be available in the future.

Can CI please clarify if the 10-page proposal limit mentioned in section 6.iii is only for the technical approach or for the entirety of the proposal (inclusive of forms and resumes)?
A: The 10-page limit is for the technical approach alone.